



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

10/080,518 02/25/2002 Scott Rawlings 6304.610 8643  7590 01/28/2003  Scott T. Wakeman  Liniak, Berenato, Longacre & White, LLC  Ste. 240 6550 Rock Spring Drive Bethesda, MD 20817  Scott Rawlings 6304.610  EXAMINER  LE, TAN  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
Scott T. Wakeman  Liniak, Berenato, Longacre & White, LLC  Ste. 240  6550 Rock Spring Drive  EXAMINER  LE, TAN	10/080,518	02/25/2002	Scott Rawlings	6304.610	8643	
Liniak, Berenato, Longacre & White, LLC Ste. 240 6550 Rock Spring Drive		7590 01/28/2003				
Ste. 240 6550 Rock Spring Drive				EXAM	EXAMINER	
ADTINIT I DADED MIMDED				LE, T	LE, TAN	
	-	•		ADTIBUT	DADED MUMBED	
				3632		
3632				DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- 0 0 N					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· Office Action Summary	10/080,518	RAWLINGS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Tan Le	3632				
Period for Reply	Bars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 F	ebruary 2002 .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)⊡ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal f	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,518 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is the second office action for application serial number 10/080,518, Stand for Supporting A Display In Multiple Orientations And A Display Used In Combination With Said Stand, filed on 2/25/02. This application contains 59 claims numbered 1-59.

2. Because the inventions are distinct as shown between claims 1-33, 37-59 and claims 34-36, the restriction/election requirement issued on 10/21/02 is not proper, and has therefore been withdrawn by the examiner. A new restriction/election requirement as follows:

## Election/Restriction

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-33 and 37-59 drawn to a display and a support stand, classified in class 248/ 176.3
  - II. Claims 34-36 drawn to a method of mounting a display on a stand classified in class 361 subclass 681.
- Inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed (the stand) can be used in a different process such as the process of use for a

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/080,518

Art Unit: 3632

LCD or a camera, and the process for mounting the display can be practiced with another materially different product such a fan or a TV.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. If Applicants choose the invention of Group I then a species election must be made as follows below:
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species of figures 1-15

Species of figures 16-18

Species of figures 19-21

Species of figures 22-25

8. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,518

Art Unit: 3632

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. A telephone call was made to Mr. Scott Wakeman on January 23, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not reach.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Tan Le, whose telephone number is 703.305.8244. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday, 9:00-6:00 and alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 10/080,518

Art Unit: 3632

Page 5

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for official communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this Application should be directed to the Group receptionist at 703.308.2168.

Tan Le

AU 3632 January 23, 2003. RAMON O. RAMIREZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART HINIT 355 36